

# Part III: Recognition of Trusts in Switzerland

Lecture: Foundations and Trusts
Spring Semester 2024

RA Michelle Kalt, LL.M.



- What is «conflict of laws» (aka private international law)?
  - → Legal rules that determine which **court has jurisdiction** and which **substantive law applies** to a case
- Necessary whenever a case has ties to more than one jurisdiction
  - Cf. art. 1(1) PILA: applies to «international matters»
- A court will always apply the private international law rules of the lex fori
  - E.g. in Switzerland: PILA, Lugano Convention, HTC



- When is a case an «international matter»?
  - Depends on the nature of the dispute
  - Trust matters: usually international by virtue of the applicable foreign law (in Switzerland!)
  - Inheritance matters: «international element» e.g. a decedent's foreign nationality, assets located abroad, or a decedent's last domicile abroad and assets located in Switzerland
- How do you decide whether ties to another jurisdiction are relevant?



- Example: an English citizen dies, having had their last legal domicile in Zurich
  - Inheritance matter → art. 90 et seqq. PILA (re: applicable law)
  - Art. 90(1), (2) PILA: Swiss domicile = Swiss law applies in principle, but foreign nationals may choose another law
  - Art. 90(2) PILA relies on foreign citizenship → foreign citizenship is a sufficient international element in inheritance matters!
- Example 2: an English citizen domiciled in Basel buys a pair of shoes from an Italian citizen domiciled in Zurich
  - Contract matter → art. 116 et seqq. PILA (re: applicable law)
  - E.g. Art. 120 PILA (re: consumer contracts): law at the consumer's place of habitual residence applies to the contract
  - Foreign citizenship not a sufficient international element for contracts!



### **Conflict of Laws – Main Issues**

- Example: an English citizen dies, having had their last legal domicile in Zurich, having submitted their estate to English law (art. 90 para. 2 PILA) and leaving behind two adult children (who also live in Switzerland)
  - Scope of the applicable inheritance law: English law will govern what belongs to the estate and who is entitled to it (art. 92(1) PILA)
  - English succession rules allow testators to pass on their estate to whomever they please (exception: Family Provision Claims)
  - What about mandatory portions of spouses and children pursuant to the Swiss Civil Code?



- Example: an English citizen dies with their last legal domicile in Zurich, having submitted their estate to English law (art. 90 para. 2 PILA), leaving behind two adult children (who also live in Switzerland) and leaving their entire estate to a Swiss charitable foundation
  - The PILA contains **exemption clauses** allowing the court to deviate from the rules of the PILA
  - Art. 17 PILA: The application of provisions of foreign law is excluded if such application leads to a result that is incompatible with Swiss public policy
  - Art. 18 PILA: Mandatory provisions of Swiss law which, by reason of their special purpose, are applicable regardless of the law referred to by this Act are reserved



### **Background**

- Trusts have always been of crucial importance to Switzerland as an international financial centre
- Increased global mobility made the issue of recognition even more pressing in recent years
- Pre-PILA (until 31 Dec 1988): Trusts were typically considered contractual agreements (cf. BGE 96 II 79, Harrison)
- Post-PILA, pre-HTC (until 30 June 2007): Typically subsumed under art. 150 para. 1 PILA («organized units of assets»), leading to the application of company law rules
  - Trusts were accordingly governed by the law under which they were «organized» (art. 154 PILA)
  - However: lack of legal certainty



- Concluded on 1 July 1985 in force in Switzerland since
   1 July 2007
- Why is the HTC so important?
- HTC is not just beneficial to non-trust jurisdictions
  - Conflict of law rules vary among trust jurisdictions too
  - Art. 2 HTC employs a broad definition of «trust», which includes legal concepts known in civil law jurisdictions (e.g. investment funds and fiduciary agreements)



### Recap: Definition of «Trust» per the Hague Trusts Convention

«For the purposes of this convention, the term (trust) refers to the **legal relationships** created — *inter vivos* or on death — by a person, the settlor, when assets have been placed under the control of a trustee for the benefit of a beneficiary or for a specified purpose.

A trust has the following characteristics -

- a) the assets constitute a **separate fund** and are not a part of the trustee's own estate;
- b) title to the trust assets stands in the name of the trustee or in the name of another person on behalf of the trustee;

[...]»

Hague Trusts Convention, Article 2



### Recap: Definition of «Trust» per the Hague Trusts Convention

**«**[…]

c) the trustee has the **power and the duty**, in respect of which he is accountable, to **manage**, **employ or dispose of the assets in accordance with the terms of the trust** and the special duties imposed upon him by law.

The reservation by the settlor of certain rights and powers, and the fact that the trustee may himself have rights as a beneficiary, are not necessarily inconsistent with the existence of a trust.»

Hague Trusts Convention, Article 2



- Basic legal effect: Recognition of foreign-law trusts as such («implantation»), cf. article 11 HTC
  - No transposition into a company or contract
- In Contracting States, the HTC applies to all trusts
   established voluntarily and evidenced in writing, including those established before its conclusion (art. 3, 22)
  - Cf. for Switzerland art. 149a PILA
  - Option to extend scope of application to trusts declared by judicial decision (art. 20), exercised e.g. by the UK
- What about trusts that do not fall within the scope of the HTC?
  - Contracting States are free to extend the application to other trusts or to apply their previous case or statutory law



- HTC has legal effect erga omnes
  - HTC applies to all trusts, incl. those that were established in accordance with the law of a **non-contracting state** – no condition of reciprocity
  - Ability of Contracting States to restrict this effect (art. 21)
  - Contracting States include Australia, Canada, UK, USA, Hong
     Kong, Cyprus but presently exclude most offshore jurisdictions
    - Due to the erga omnes nature, this is not an issue for Switzerland



- Applicable law cascade
  - Art. 6 HTC: law chosen by the settlor
    - However, see art. 5 HTC re: invalidity of the settlor's choice of law (and art. 149c(2) PILA)
    - See also art. 13 HTC (and why it is problematic) and art. 149c(2) PILA
  - Art. 7 HTC: Law with which the trust is most closely connected (subsidiary application)
    - E.g. place of administration, location of the trust assets, place of residence or business of trustee
    - What is the issue with this provision?



### **Hague Trusts Convention**

«Where no applicable law has been chosen, a trust shall be governed by the law with which it is most closely connected.

In ascertaining the law with which a trust is most closely connected reference shall be made in particular to -

- a) the place of administration of the trust designated by the settlor;
- b) the situs of the assets of the trust;
- c) the place of residence or business of the trustee;
- d) the objects of the trust and the places where they are to be fulfilled.»
- Hague Trusts Convention, Article 7



- Art. 17 HTC: the trust law determined by art. 6 and 7 HTC is the substantive law, excluding the conflicts of law rules (and excl. non-state laws)
- Applicable law scope of application
  - Art. 8(1) HTC: applicable law applies to the validity of the trust, its construction, effects and administration, including, in particular:
    - Appointment, resignation and removal of trustees, capacity to act as a trustee, and devolution of the office of trustee
    - Rights and duties of trustees among themselves
    - Right of trustees to delegate duties and powers



- Applicable law scope of application
  - Art. 8(1) HTC: applicable law applies to the validity of the trust, its construction, effects and administration, including, in particular (cont'd):
    - Power to administer the trust assets
    - Power to invest the assets
    - Restrictions of the trust's duration and to accumulate income
    - Relationship between trustees and beneficiaries, as well as personal liability of trustees towards beneficiaries
    - Variation and termination of the trust
    - Distribution of the trust assets
    - Duty to account for administration
    - Severability



- Applicable law scope of application
  - Art. 9, 10 HTC: severable aspects of the trust may be governed by a different law; severability is up to the law applicable to its validity
  - Art. 4 HTC: HTC does not apply to preliminary issues relating to the validity of wills or other acts by virtue of which assets are transferred to the trustee
    - The rocket and the launcher»
    - Cf. e.g. art. 90, 91 PILA (law applicable to the estate), 93
       PILA (law applicable to the form of wills and other testamentary dispositions)
- → A trust created in accordance with the applicable law will be recognized as a trust.



- Recognition what does it entail?
  - HTC contains specific provisions re: what effects of the trust must be recognized and enforced as a minimum
    - Art. 11(2) HTC: Minimum = separate fund, capacity to act,
       sue and be sued in the capacity of a trustee
    - Art. 11(3) HTC: If the applicable law so provides, additional effects reinforcing the notion of separation of trust assets and trustee's personal assets as well as the concept of tracing
    - Art. 11(2) and 11(3) mirror art. 2(1) HTC
  - In essence: Recognition means no «conversion», «translation» or «transposition» into a similar or parallel legal concept known to the recognizing Contracting State



- Limitations and reservations: art. 15, 16, 18 HTC
  - Purpose: allowing Contracting States to protect their fundamental institutions – why is this important?
  - Art. 18 HTC: reservation of public policy of the forum (mirrors art. 17 PILA)
  - Art. 16 HTC: reservation of *lois d'application immédiate* of the forum (art. 16(1) HTC) as well as of another state sufficiently connected to the case (art. 16(2) HTC) (mirrors art. 18 and 19 PILA)
  - Art. 15 HTC: reservation of "provisions of the law designated by the conflicts rules of the forum, in so far as those provisions cannot be derogated from by voluntary act"



### **Hague Trusts Convention – Limitations and Reservations**

«The Convention does not prevent the application of provisions of the law designated by the conflicts rules of the forum, in so far as those provisions cannot be derogated from by voluntary act, relating in particular to the following matters -

- a) the protection of minors and incapable parties;
- b) the personal and proprietary effects of marriage;
- c) succession rights, testate and intestate, especially the indefeasible shares of spouses and relatives;
- d) the transfer of title to property and security interests in property;
- [...]»
- Hague Trusts Convention, Article 15



### **Hague Trusts Convention – Limitations and Reservations**

**«**[…]

- e) the protection of creditors in matters of insolvency;
- f) the protection, in other respects, of third parties acting in good faith.

If recognition of a trust is prevented by application of the preceding paragraph, the court shall try to give effect to the objects of the trust by other means.»

Hague Trusts Convention, Article 15



- Limitations and reservations: art. 15 HTC
  - Provisions of the law designated by the conflicts rules of the forum (not: the substantive law of the forum)
  - Insofar as such provisions cannot be derogated from by voluntary act
    - What does that mean?
      - Lois d'application immédiate? (-)
      - Unilateral derogation impossible? (+) (probably)



- Following its ratification, Switzerland amended the PILA (addition of **Chapter 9a** and amendment of art. 21 PILA) and the Debt Enforcement Act (DEA)
  - Chapter 9a takes precedence over the company law provisions of Chapter 10 (lex specialis)
  - Art. 21 PILA: «seat» of the trust is its place of management (per the trust instrument or where the assets are effectively managed)
- On the other hand, Switzerland did **not** amend the Civil Code or the Code of Obligations
  - Accordingly, which rules take precedent over the trust law based on art. 15 HTC is largely for the courts and scholarship to determine



- Rules taking precedence over the trust statute (art. 15 HTC), namely:
  - Art. 15 b): the personal and proprietary effects of marriage;
  - Art. 15 c): succession rights, testate and intestate, especially the indefeasible shares of spouses and relatives
- Swiss marital law: applies, inter alia, when both spouses are domiciled in Switzerland (art. 48 PILA); matrimonial property regimes are subject to choice of law by the spouses (art. 52(1) PILA)
- Swiss inheritance law: applies whenever the decedent had their last domicile in Switzerland (art. 90 PILA), choice of law only available to foreign citizens (art. 90(2) PILA)



- Rules taking precedence over the trust statute (art. 15, 16 HTC)
- Tricky subjects include:
  - Art. 488 CC
  - Art. 335 CC
  - Availability of trusts mortis causa



- Trust recognition vs. art. 488 para. 2 CC
  - <sup>1</sup> The testator is entitled in his or her dispositions to require the named heir, as provisional heir, to deliver the estate to a third party, as remainderman.
  - <sup>2</sup> No such obligation may be imposed on the remainderman.
  - <sup>3</sup> The same provisions apply to legacies.
  - Prevents a testator from burdening more than one succession of heirs with holding assets and delivering them to another person (who also becomes a – subsequent – heir)
  - Goal: "freeing up" assets after a certain amount of time



- Trust recognition vs. art. 488 para. 2 CC
  - <sup>1</sup> The testator is entitled in his or her dispositions to require the named heir, as provisional heir, to deliver the estate to a third party, as remainderman.
  - <sup>2</sup> No such obligation may be imposed on the remainderman.
  - <sup>3</sup> The same provisions apply to legacies.
  - Should art. 488 CC take precedence over trust statute based on art. 15 HTC?
    - Art. 488 CC cannot be derogated from (unilaterally or bilaterally), is meant to protect heirs
    - Still, (likely) no precedence if trust law contains rule against perpetuities



- Trust recognition vs. art. 335 CC
  - <sup>1</sup> A body of assets may be tied to a family by means of a family foundation created under the law of persons or inheritance law in order to meet the costs of raising, endowing or supporting family members or for similar purposes.
  - <sup>2</sup> It is no longer permitted to establish a fee tail.
  - Prohibition of pure maintenance foundations and fee tails (fideicommissa)



- Trust recognition vs. art. 335 CC
  - <sup>1</sup> A body of assets may be tied to a family by means of a family foundation created under the law of persons or inheritance law in order to meet the costs of raising, endowing or supporting family members or for similar purposes.
  - <sup>2</sup> It is no longer permitted to establish a fee tail.
  - Swiss Supreme Court deemed value system behind art. 335 CC outdated
    - Art. 335 CC does not constitute a loi d'application immédiate pursuant to art. 18 PILA (and by extension art. 16 HTC)
    - Should not take precedence even based on art. 15 HTC, as increased global mobility of assets and availability of legal instruments illustrate that concept is out of time



- Availability of trusts mortis causa
  - When is a trust a mortis causa trust?
  - Art. 4 HTC: Validity of act by which assets are transferred to the trustee is not governed by the trust statute (accordingly, assets transferred to a trustee by will can be a matter of Swiss succession law, art. 92(1) PILA)
    - NB that the **formal validity** of such wills and succession pacts may be subject to a different law (as determined by the Hague Convention on the Conflict of Laws Relating to the Form of Testamentary Dispositions, art. 93 PILA)



- Availability of trusts mortis causa
  - Issue: numerus clausus of testamentary provisions available under Swiss law
    - Swiss law does not explicitly list the creation of a trust as an available option mortis causa (but it does so for foundations)
    - Scholarship is divided (but majority appear in favour)
    - Mortis causa trusts should be possible given that like foundations – trusts may be established both *inter vivos* and mortis causa and are therefore not "succession-specific"
    - Act of transfer can take the form of legacy or naming an heir (trustee becomes heir or legatee)



### **Conclusion and Outlook**

- Trusts are recognized in Switzerland will full legal effect
  - Switzerland is trust-friendly and respects settlor's will
- No introduction of a substantive trust law into the Swiss legal order
  - No amendment of the Civil Code or the Code of Obligations
- However: Recent political attempts at introducing the trust into substantive Swiss law
  - Motion (18.3383) «Introduction of the trust into the Swiss legal order» dated 26 April 2018: approved
  - An expert report came to the conclusion that there was «regulatory failure» in the area of international estate planning due to the necessity to utilize foreign trusts



### **Conclusion and Outlook**

- Recent political attempts at introducing the trust into substantive Swiss law
  - A pre-draft of a «Swiss trust law» was published in January 2022 and opened for consultation until April 2022
  - Stakeholder feedback vaguely positive re: planned amendments to Swiss private law, but highly critical of planned tax law provisions
  - Scholars and practitioners expressed strong preference towards modernisation of Swiss family foundation (rather than introducing an entirely new legal instrument)
  - → Federal Council abandoned the project in September 2023



# Part IV: Trusts in Swiss (Inheritance) Proceedings

Lecture: Foundations and Trusts
Spring Semester 2024

RA Michelle Kalt, LL.M.



# IV. Trusts in Swiss (Inheritance) Proceedings

### **Proceedings Involving Trusts – Preliminary Remarks**

- Three types of proceedings involving trusts (cf. Alsop Wilkinson v Neary)
  - Trust disputes
  - Beneficiary disputes
  - Third-party disputes
- Differences between types of disputes
  - Applicable law (substantive & procedural)
  - Jurisdiction (esp. third-party vs. trust/beneficiary disputes)
  - Validity / applicability of jurisdiction and arbitration clauses in trust instrument



# IV. Trusts in Swiss (Inheritance) Proceedings

### **Proceedings Involving Trusts – Preliminary Remarks**

- Three types of proceedings involving trusts (cf. Alsop Wilkinson v Neary)
  - Trust disputes
  - Beneficiary disputes
  - Third-party disputes

### Trust disputes

- «Hostile» trust disputes = attacks on the trust instrument
- «Friendly» trust disputes = may relate, e.g., to the correct administration of the trust property or construction of the trust instrument



### **Proceedings Involving Trusts – Preliminary Remarks**

- Three types of proceedings involving trusts (cf. Alsop Wilkinson v Neary)
  - Trust disputes
  - Beneficiary disputes
  - Third-party disputes

### Beneficiary Disputes

- Disputes between beneficiaries and the trustee
- May relate to the trustee's actions in administering the trust, but also the existence and scope of an individual beneficiary's rights



### **Proceedings Involving Trusts – Preliminary Remarks**

- Three types of proceedings involving trusts (cf. Alsop Wilkinson v Neary)
  - Trust disputes
  - Beneficiary disputes
  - Third-party disputes

### Third-party disputes

- Disputes between the trustee and outsiders
- Concerning legal relationships between the trustee and third parties (e.g. contracts, torts, and most inheritance disputes)



- Bodies of rules re: international proceedings before Swiss courts
  - Lugano Convention
    - Applies to trust disputes, beneficiary disputes, contractual disputes, but **not** inheritance disputes
    - If Swiss courts have jurisdiction per the LC, the domestic jurisdiction (competent court within CH) is determined by PILA
  - PILA where LC does not apply (and to determine domestic direct jurisdiction)
  - Swiss Code of Civil Procedure governs jurisdiction in purely domestic cases and applies to all actual proceedings (once jurisdiction has been determined)



- Direct jurisdiction of Swiss courts under the Lugano
   Convention
  - Material scope of application: LC is in principle applicable to all civil legal disputes, incl. trust disputes (cf. art. 1(2) LC e contrario)
    - However, LC does not apply to disputes regarding the status or legal capacity of natural persons, rights in property arising out of a matrimonial relationship, as well as wills and succession
      - LC does not apply to claims of heirs on the estate
      - LC does apply if only the capacity to sue is derived from inheritance law (as a preliminary question), not the claim itself (BGE 135 III 185)



- Direct jurisdiction of Swiss courts under the Lugano
   Convention
  - Territorial scope of application: whenever the LC itself provides for a forum
    - General jurisdiction: a person resident in a Contracting State to be sued at their domicile (art. 2(1) LC)
    - Specific jurisdiction: a person can be sued as settlor,
       trustee or beneficiary of a trust in the courts of the Contracting
       State where the trust is domiciled (art. 5(6) LC)
    - Specific jurisdiction: contractual disputes (art. 5(1) LC)
    - Exception prorogation of jurisdiction: exclusive
      jurisdiction of the courts of the Contracting State upon which
      the trust instrument has conferred jurisdiction



- Direct jurisdiction of Swiss courts under the PILA for trust and beneficiary disputes
  - Art. 149b(1) PILA: choice of forum by the settlor
  - Art. 149b(3) PILA: subsidiarily, jurisdiction of Swiss courts at the place of domicile or – sub-subsidiarily – the habitual residence of the defendant or the seat of the trust
- Indirect jurisdiction (recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments re: trusts): art. 149e PILA
- NB: Lugano Convention always takes precedence where it is applicable!



### **Inheritance Proceedings – Jurisdiction**

- Direct jurisdiction of Swiss courts under the PILA
  - Art. 86(1) PILA: last Swiss domicile of the decedent
  - Art. 86(2) PILA: exclusive jurisdiction of the state where the decedent held real property takes precedence
  - Art. 87 PILA: jurisdiction of Swiss authorities for Swiss citizens whose last domicile was aborad
- Indirect jurisdiction (recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments re: inheritance): art. 96 PILA



#### **Indirect Jurisdiction of Swiss Courts**

- Indirect jurisdiction under the Lugano Convention (recognition and enforcement)
  - Switzerland will enforce any decision coming from another Contractual State, regardless of whether the issuing court had jurisdiction under the Lugano Convention (art. 33(1) LC; exceptions see art. 34 et seq. LC)
- Indirect jurisdiction under the PILA: art. 149e PILA (trust-specific decisions from Non-Contracting States of the LC),
   art. 96 PILA (inheritance-specific decisions)



- Inherent friction between trust jurisdictions and civil law jurisdictions
  - Differing legal values
    - Common law: Freedom of disposition over a person's estate (during life and upon death)
    - Civil law: Preservation of a family property (patrimoine)
  - Result
    - Common law: liberal (succession) laws, «family provisions» are available to surviving children and spouses in case of need
    - Civil law: Indefeasible shares / forced heirship rights



- Swiss marital property law (default regime):
  - Upon divison of assets (due to divorce or death), both spouses receive ½ of the other spouse's assets (as accrued during the marriage) (art. 215 CC)
  - Calculation of shares: Art. 208 CC
    - Assets acquired during the marriage
    - (+) the value of (1) dispositions within 5 years w/o consideration by one spouse w/o the other spouse's consent and of (2) assets disposed of by one spouse w/ the intention of diminishing the other's share (for the purpose of the calculation only)
  - Art. 220 CC: claw-back claim of the entitled spouse against recipient of assets per art. 208 CC if remaining assets of the debtor spouse are insufficient to cover the participation claim



- Indefeasible shares pursuant to Swiss inheritance law
  - Spouse: ½ of their statutory share
    - Statutory share of spouses = ½ of the decedent's estate if sharing with offspring OR entire estate if no offspring
  - Children: ½ of their statutory share
    - Statutory share of all children combined = ½ of the decedent's estate if sharing with a spouse OR entire estate if no spouse
      - E.g. 1 child would receive ½ of the estate, 2 children would each receive ¼ of the estate if sharing with spouse, and twice that if not sharing with a spouse



- Indefeasible shares pursuant to Swiss inheritance law
  - Calculation of indefeasible shares: Art. 474, 527 CC
    - Starting point: all assets in the estate at the time of death, minus debts, plus (for calculation purposes only):
      - the value of advances on inheritance (art. 527 (1) CC);
      - revocable gifts and gifts made within 5 years of the decedent's death (art. 527(3) CC); and
      - assets disposed of by the decedent w/ the intention of diminishing the indefeasible share (art. 527(4) CC)
  - Art. 522, 527 CC: claw-back claim of the entitled heir(s) against the other heirs and, subsidiarily, against recipients during the decedent's lifetime, if remaining assets of the estate do not suffice to cover the indefeasible shares



- Enforcing indefeasible shares pursuant to Swiss law
  - Can a beneficial interest in a trust satisfy an indefeasible share?
    - Likely (+) to the degree that the heir has already received trust assets (from a fixed interest or discretionary trust)
    - (-) if mere **expectancy** in assets of a discretionary trust (uncertain whether heir will actually receive trust assets)
    - Likely (-) if fixed interest trust and assets have not yet been distributed to the heir (biens aisément négociables-doctrine)



- Indefeasible shares and marital property rights procedural questions
  - Forum determined according to PILA (inheritance / divorce forum; LC does not apply, art. 1(2)(a) LC)
  - Who must be sued?
    - Trustee as holder of legal title in trust assets (has the capacity to sue and be sued)
      - Debatable if together with beneficiaries in the case of fixed interest trusts
    - Beneficiary, if trust assets have already been distributed to them? (cf. art. 528 and 220(3) CC re: restitution duty of a good-faith beneficiary as well as the trustee who is no longer enriched)



- Rights of information of heirs
- Why are they important?
  - Events giving rise to inheritance claims usually happen during the decedent's lifetime, often w/o the heirs' involvement
    - Heirs are not privy to the relevant information at all, or
    - Some heirs have more information than others
  - At the same time, secure knowledge of the factual basis of a (presumed) claim is **essential** (to gauge whether or not lawsuit will be successful as well as to later argue it in court)
  - Rights of information exist to bridge the gap between the need for information and the notorious lack thereof



- Rights of information of heirs 3 legal bases
  - 1. Right of information **between heirs**: art. 607(3) and 610(2) CC
    - Everything objectively relevant to determine the amount of the estate and the division thereof
  - 2. Right of information **between heirs and third parties**: art. 607(3) and 610(2) CC *per analogiam*
  - → These rights of information are derived from inheritance law



- Rights of information of heirs 3 legal bases
  - 3. Right of information **between heirs and third parties:** art. 560 CC
    - Decedent's rights of information (based on a contract or other legal relationship between the decedent and a third party) are transferred to the heirs by virtue of universal succession
    - → These rights of information are derived from contract law (or another legal source that is not inheritance law)
    - → Inheritance-based and contract-based rights to information exist concurrently



- Rights of information of heirs trust context
  - Inheritance law: art. 607(3) and 610(2) CC, applicable by analogy to third parties (incl. trustees)
    - Heirs have a right to information if there is a connection to the third party based on inheritance law (e.g. third party holds assets that belong to the decedent's estate or that might be subject to a claw-back claim)
    - If the account holder with the bank is a trustee but the decedent was also **beneficial owner** of the assets in the account, the heirs have a right to information *towards the* bank (only based on inheritance, not contract law)
      - "Beneficial owner" depends on context (revocable trust = settlor, irrevocable fixed interest trust = beneficiary, otherwise whoever legally controls the trustee)



- Rights of information of heirs trust context
  - Contract law (or other legal basis, e.g. trust law): art. 560 CC
    - If the decedent had heritable rights of information based on any legal relationship, these are transferred to the heirs
      - In a recent court case, the Swiss Supreme Court limited contractual rights to information to cases where the heirs have an inheritance law-based interest in the information (debatable)
    - In particular, heirs will have a right of information towards a bank re: transfers (e.g. to a trustee) if 1) the settlor-decedent was the holder of the account from which a payment was made or if 2) the settlor-decedent made the payment into an account (held by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party) with the bank form which information is sought



- Rights of information procedural questions
  - Forum
    - Information rights based on contract/trust law: LC applies (as inheritance law is only "preliminary question")
      - Substantive law applicable to the information right itself is then determined by the conflict of law rules for contracts (art. 117 PILA) or the HTC when the information holder is a trustee (art. 8 lit. g HTC trust statute per art. 6/7 HTC)
    - Information rights based on inheritance law: inheritance forum per the PILA (LC does not apply, art. 1(2)(a) LC)
  - Who must be sued?
    - Whoever holds the relevant information (trustee, bank, beneficiaries [heir and non-heir])

#### Rechtswissenschaftliches Institut

# IV. Trusts in Swiss (Inheritance) Proceedings

Case Study: Rybolovlev v. Rybolovleva (BGer 5A\_259/2010)

Facts of the Case (simplified):

1987: Elena and Dimitri Rybolovlev get married in Russia

1995: The couple move to Geneva where they establish

legal domicile

4/2005: Dimitri Rybolovlev suggests entering into a marriage

contract. Elena Rybolovlev refuses

6/2005: Dimitri Rybolovlev sets up two discretionary trusts

pursuant to Cyprus law, transfers a big part of his

billion-dollar shareholdings to the trustees

2008: Elena Rybolovlev files for divorce in Geneva and

requests provisional measures to block a variety of

Dimitri Rybolovlev's assets



Case Study: Rybolovlev v. Rybolovleva (BGer 5A\_259/2010)

Key issue: division of a marital property regime involving trusts

- Forum: divorce forum or inheritance forum (depending on the occasion), art. 51 PILA
- Applicable law:
  - As there was no marriage contract, law of the (last) joint domicile of the spouses governs division of marital property (art. 54(1) PILA), i.e. Swiss law (domicile = Geneva)
  - The Cyprus trusts are governed by Cyprus law (art. 6 HTC)
  - Swiss marital property law is applicable against the trusts (art. 15 lit. b HTC)
- Art. 208 CC: value of dispositions made without the spouse's consent during the five years preceding the dissolution of the marital property regime is added to property acquired during marriage (calculatively! Transfer is not invalid, art. 201 CC)



Case Study: Rybolovlev v. Rybolovleva (BGer 5A\_259/2010)

Key issue: division of a marital property regime involving trusts

- Art. 208 CC: value of dispositions made without the spouse's consent during the five years preceding the dissolution of the marital property regime is added to property acquired during marriage (calculatively)
  - DR made transfers in 2005 (no consideration), ER files for divorce in 2005 – 5-year limit is respected
  - Value of trust assets is to be added to DR's property acquired during marriage (calculatively)
- Art. 215 CC: Each spouse receives ½ of the other spouse's property acquired during marriage (after addition per art. 208 CC)
  - DR's remaining assets (w/o trust property) likely not sufficient to satisfy ER's claim to ½ of his property!



Case Study: Rybolovlev v. Rybolovleva (BGer 5A\_259/2010)

Key issue: division of a marital property regime involving trusts

- Art. 220 CC: If the assets of the debtor-spouse or their estate are insufficient to cover the participation claim on division of the property, the entitled spouse or their heirs may demand from third-party beneficiaries the return of such dispositions as are to be added to the acquired property up to the amount of the shortfall (art. 208)
  - ER can demand return of trust assets to satisfy her claim

**NB**: The actual case was "solved" slightly differently...



### **Digression: Trust Arbitration in Switzerland**

- Advantages of arbitration in trust disputes
  - Faster and sometimes more cost-effective (not always)
    - Right to appeal very limited (cf. art. 190 PILA re: international arbitration)
  - Option to choose arbitrators familiar with trust law at hand
  - Typically increased confidentiality (if agreed on beforehand)
  - Easier recognition abroad through the New York Convention
- Downsides of arbitration
  - Not available for all types of disputes (namely in the areas of inheritance and material property law) claim must be «pecuniary» (art. 177(1) PILA)
  - Party agreement is usually necessary (but see the new art. 178
     PILA)



#### **Rechtswissenschaftliches Institut**

# **Questions?**

#### **Rechtswissenschaftliches Institut**

# Thank you for your attention!